

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/796,462	03/09/2004	Richard Hochberg	Y03-078US	2394
7590 04/22/2005		EXAMINER		
Henry D. Coleman			BADIO, BARBARA P	
714 Colorado Avenue Bridgeport, CT 06605-1601			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		f	1617 DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/796,462	HOCHBERG, RICHARD			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D.	1617			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status		·				
1)□	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .				
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.		·			
3)□	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-32</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)□	5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>21-32</u> is/are allowed.					
·	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,11,12,19 and 20</u> is/are rejected.					
·	Claim(s) <u>2-10 and 13-18</u> is/are objected to.	, , , , , , ,				
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.				
Application	on Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/17/04.  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/796,462 Page 2

**Art Unit: 1617** 

#### **First Office Action on the Merits**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The language of the instant claim creates confusion as to the claimed invention.

Claim 11 recites "compound according to claim 9 wherein 10 is methyl...", however, (a) claim 9 lacks recitation of any of the groups disclosed by the instant claim and (b) it is not clear what is intended by the phrase "wherein 10 is methyl.....".

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Linder et al.

Art Unit: 1617

Linder et al. teach  $15\epsilon$ -carboxymethyl derivatives of estrone and estradiol, for example,  $15\epsilon$ -carboxymethyl-1,3,5(10)-estratrien-3,17 $\beta$ -diol (see the entire article, especially page 164, compound VI). The compound and composition taught by the reference are encompassed by the instant claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Linder et al.

Linder et al. teach  $15\epsilon$ -carboxymethyl derivatives of estrone and estradiol, for example,  $15\epsilon$ -carboxymethyl-1,3,5(10)-estratrien-3,17 $\beta$ -diol (see the entire article, especially page 164, compound VI).

The instant claims differ from the reference by reciting specific formulations. However, the preparation of a compound into various formulations is routine in the pharmaceutical art and, thus, would have been prima facie obvious to the skilled artisan at the time of the present invention. In addition, it has been held that the addition of a carrier or solvent to an unpatentable compound is prima facie obvious. See <u>Ex parte</u>

<u>Douros</u>, 163 USPQ 667.

Application/Control Number: 10/796,462 Page 4

Art Unit: 1617

## Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claims 21-32 are allowed.

8. Claims 2-10 and 13-18 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Telephone Inquiry

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-0609. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 6:30am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan can be reached on 571-272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/796,462

Art Unit: 1617

Barbara P. Badio, Ph.D. Primary Examiner Art Unit 1617

Page 5

BB

April 15, 2005